### PATENT COOPERATION TREATY

**PCT** 

REC'D	1	3 MAR	2006

WIPO PCT

## INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY REPORT ON PATENTABILITY

(Chapter II of the Patent Cooperation Treaty)

(PCT Article 36 and Rule 70)

Applicant's or agent's file reference	FOR EURTHER ACTION	Con Form DOTABEA MAG		
TS 5595 PCT	FOR FURTHER ACTION	See Form PCT/IPEA/416		
International application No. PCT/EP2004/053640	International filing date (day/month/year 21.12.2004	r) Priority date (day/month/year) 23.12.2003		
International Patent Classification (IPC) or national classification and IPC				
C10G67/04				
Applicant SHELL INTERNATIONALE RESE.	ARCH MAATS et al.			
This report is the international pr Authority under Article 35 and tra	eliminary examination report, establis ansmitted to the applicant according to	hed by this International Preliminary Examining o Article 36.		
t .	of 5 sheets, including this cover she	et.		
3. This report is also accompanied		h de la company		
a. $\square$ sent to the applicant and	to the International Bureau) a total of	sheets, as follows:		
sheets of the description, claims and/or drawings which have been amended and are the basis of this report and/or sheets containing rectifications authorized by this Authority (see Rule 70.16 and Section 607 of the Administrative Instructions).				
sheets which supersede earlier sheets, but which this Authority considers contain an amendment that goes beyond the disclosure in the international application as filed, as indicated in item 4 of Box No. I and the Supplemental Box.				
b. (sent to the International Bureau only) a total of (indicate type and number of electronic carrier(s)), containing a				
Box Relating to Sequence	e Listing (see Section 802 of the Adm	ninistrative instructions).		
4. This report contains indications	relating to the following items:			
☐ Box No. I Basis of the o	pinion			
☑ Box No. II Priority				
☐ Box No. III Non-establish	ment of opinion with regard to novelty	rd to novelty, inventive step and industrial applicability		
☐ Box No. IV Lack of unity				
☐ Box No. V Reasoned state applicability; of	Box No. V Reasoned statement under Article 35(2) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement			
☐ Box No. VI Certain documents cited				
☐ Box No. VII Certain defects in the international application				
☐ Box No. VIII Certain observations on the international application		n		
Date of submission of the demand	Date of con	npletion of this report		
Date of submission of the demand				
10.10.2005	10.03.20	06		
Name and mailing address of the international		Officer		
preliminary examining authority:  European Patent Office		M 1981		
D-80298 Munich Tel. +49 89 2399 - 0 Tx: 52	Cagnoli,			
Fax: +49 89 2399 - 4465		No. +49 89 2399-		

### INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY REPORT ON PATENTABILITY

International application No. PCT/EP2004/053640

_					
_	Box No. I	Basis of the rep	ort		
1	. With regard filed, unles	With regard to the <b>language</b> , this report is based on the international application in the language in which it will filed, unless otherwise indicated under this item.			
	wnich □ inte □ pub	is the language of ernational search (i plication of the inte	a translation furnished under Rules 12.3 and rnational application (	under Rule 12.4)	
		rnational prelimina	ry examination (unde	r Rules 55.2 and/or 55.3)	
2.	nave been	Turrisnea to the re	of the international a ceiving Office in resp are not annexed to th	oplication, this report is based on <i>(replacement sheets which onse to an invitation under Article 14 are referred to in this nis report)</i> :	
	Description	, Pages			
	1-21		as originally filed		
	Claims, Nur	nbers			
	1-12		as originally filed		
	Drawings, S	heets			
	1/1		as originally filed		
	□ a sequ	ence listing and/or	any related table(s) -	see Supplemental Box Relating to Sequence Listing	
3.	☐ The am	nendments have re	sulted in the cancella	tion of:	
		description, pages claims, Nos.			
	☐ the	drawings, sheets/fi			
	☐ the : ☐ any	sequence listing (s table(s) related to	specify): sequence listing <i>(spe</i>	cify):	
4.	nau not bee	port has been esta in made, since the tal Box (Rule 70.2(	v nave been consider	) the amendments annexed to this report and listed below ed to go beyond the disclosure as filed, as indicated in the	
	☐ the d☐ the d☐ the d☐ the d	description, pages claims, Nos. drawings, sheets/fi sequence listing <i>(s</i> table(s) related to	gs <i>pecify)</i> : sequence listing <i>(spe</i>	cify);	
				hese sheets may be marked "superseded."	

## INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY REPORT ON PATENTABILITY

International application No. PCT/EP2004/053640

	Box	k No. II Priority			_		
1.		<ul> <li>□ This report has been established as if no priority had been claimed due to the failure to furnish within the prescribed time limit the requested:</li> <li>□ copy of the earlier application whose priority has been claimed (Rule 66.7(a)).</li> <li>□ translation of the earlier application whose priority has been claimed (Rule 66.7(b)).</li> </ul>					
2.	This report has been established as if no priority had been claimed due to the fact that the priority claim has been found invalid (Rule 64.1). Thus for the purposes of this report, the international filing date indicated above is considered to be the relevant date.						
з.	. Additional observations, if necessary:						
	Во	x No. V Reasoned statement plicability; citations and expla	nt unde	er Article	35(2) with	regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial statement	
	ap	pheability, ortations and onput					
1.	Sta	atement					
	No	velty (N)	Yes: No:	Claims Claims	1-12		
	Inv	ventive step (IS)	Yes: No:	Claims Claims	1-12		
	lno	dustrial applicability (IA)	Yes: No:	Claims Claims	1-12		

2. Citations and explanations (Rule 70.7):

see separate sheet

# INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY REPORT ON PATENTABILITY (SEPARATE SHEET)

International application No.

PCT/EP2004/053640

Reference is made to the following documents:

D1: US-A-4 975 177 D2: US-A-3 005 768

- The present application does not meet the requirements of Art. 33 PCT because the subject-matter of claim 1, does not involve an inventive step in the sense of Art. 33 (3) PCT.
- 1.1. Document D1 discloses (see figure 1, abstract, column 5, lines 24-28, column 6, lines 33-35 and 58-60, column 10, lines 49-68) a process to prepare a base oil from which the subject-matter of claim 1 only differs in that the product is transported from one location to another, after hydroisomerisation and before a solvent dewaxing step.
- 1.2. The problem that the present application solves may be considered as providing the final product at the location closer to the end user.
- 1.3. It has to be pointed out that D1 does not exclude to transport the intermediate product from one location to another and that even the dislocation of the said intermediate product through a pipe is also a kind of transportation.
- 1.4. However, such a solution is already well known in the art for example it is already suggested by D2, which considers to solvent dehaze base oils to the desired final haze properties (see for example column 1, lines 40-43), which base oils being considered as a feedstock.
  - Transporting feedstock or intermediate products from a location to another is a common practice in the petrochemical industry and therefore transporting the hydroisomerised oil from one location to another is merely one of the different straightforward possibilities from which the skilled person would select, in accordance with circumstances, without the exercise of inventive skill, in order to solve the problem posed.
- Dependent claims 2 to 12 do not appear to contain any additional features which, in combination with the features of any claim to which they refer, meet the requirements

#### INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY REPORT ON PATENTABILITY (SEPARATE SHEET)

PCT/EP2004/053640

- of the PCT with respect to inventive step, because their additional features are either anticipated by D1 or rendered obvious by the available prior art.
- 3. Claims 1, 5, 6-8 do not meet the requirements of Article 6 PCT in that the matter for which protection is sought is not clearly defined. The claims attempt to define the subject-matter in terms of the result to be achieved, which merely amounts to a statement of the underlying problem, without providing the technical features necessary for achieving this result.
- 4. The term "remote" used in claim 1 is vague and unclear and leave/s the reader in doubt as to the meaning of the technical features to which it refers, thereby rendering the definition of the subject-matter of said claim unclear, Article 6 PCT.